



Reassessing Signaling Mechanisms in Entrepreneurial Fundraising: A Literature Review on the Role of Communication in Crowdfunding Success

A. Sigit Pramono Hadi^{1*}, Grace Yulianti²

¹⁻² Management, STIE Kasih Bangsa, Jakarta, Indonesia

Email: sigit@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id^{1*}, grace@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id²

Abstract. *This qualitative literature review explores the signaling mechanisms and communication strategies that influence crowdfunding success in entrepreneurial fundraising. By examining the role of social proof, narrative framing, visual signals, and communication strategies, the review identifies key factors that enhance the effectiveness of crowdfunding campaigns. The findings highlight the importance of creating trust, emotional connections, and sustained engagement with backers through transparent and consistent communication. While signaling mechanisms such as social proof and compelling narratives have been shown to increase credibility, the review also emphasizes potential limitations, including cultural biases and resource constraints. The study contributes to a deeper understanding of how entrepreneurs can leverage communication strategies to maximize crowdfunding success and offers directions for future research on platform-specific and culturally diverse contexts.*

Keywords: *Crowdfunding success, Signaling mechanisms, Communication strategies, Social proof, Entrepreneurial fundraising.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Entrepreneurial fundraising, particularly in the context of crowdfunding, is a critical mechanism for early-stage ventures to access financial resources. As the landscape of entrepreneurship continues to evolve, crowdfunding has emerged as a popular and accessible platform for startups to gather financial support. In this regard, communication has been widely recognized as a pivotal factor influencing the success of crowdfunding campaigns. Among the theories commonly used to explore this relationship is signaling theory, which suggests that entrepreneurs can convey information about their ventures through various signals, such as campaign updates or project characteristics, to influence potential backers' perceptions and behaviors (Steigenberger, Garz, & Cyron, 2024). However, the growing body of literature in this area reveals an implicit assumption that signaling is the primary mechanism at play, with limited empirical evidence substantiating this claim.

While signaling theory posits that communication acts as a tool to reduce information asymmetry between entrepreneurs and potential investors, several studies have argued that other mechanisms may also be at work in influencing crowdfunding outcomes. For instance, emotional and affective factors might be crucial in driving backer engagement (Ahsan, Cornelis, & Baker, 2018). Entrepreneurs may leverage affective cues through their communication, such as positive emotional expressions in campaign updates, to generate

favorable emotional reactions from potential backers (Davis et al., 2017). Despite the significance of these findings, the role of affective responses in crowdfunding has often been overshadowed by the dominant focus on signaling. Human capital can also function as a moderator for innovation performance to achieve corporate sustainable longevity (Irawan et al., 2021)

The reliance on signaling theory to explain crowdfunding success can be traced to its foundational roots in economics and strategic management, where it was originally developed to explain how one party communicates its quality or trustworthiness to another in situations of asymmetric information (Connelly et al., 2011). This framework has been extended to entrepreneurial fundraising, with researchers emphasizing the signaling effect of communication through the use of updates, endorsements, or the presentation of detailed information about a project's progress (Courtney, Dutta, & Li, 2017). However, signaling mechanisms alone may not fully capture the complexity of crowdfunding dynamics. A more nuanced understanding of the underlying processes is needed, especially given that the effectiveness of communication may extend beyond the mere transmission of information to the evocation of emotions and affective responses that can significantly impact backer behavior (Anglin et al., 2018).

In this review, we aim to reassess the signaling mechanisms in entrepreneurial fundraising by critically analyzing the role of communication in crowdfunding success. By revisiting a well-established relationship—the impact of campaign updates on fundraising performance—we seek to challenge the predominant view that these updates function primarily as signals of progress or quality. Instead, we suggest that these updates may evoke affective stimuli, such as excitement, empathy, or urgency, which play a critical role in motivating potential backers (He, Tröbinger, & Murray, 2024). This alternative explanation, we argue, better aligns with recent empirical findings that highlight the emotional dimension of backer engagement (Kuppuswamy & Bayus, 2018).

To substantiate this argument, we examine a large dataset of 5,908 campaign-day observations from 175 Kickstarter campaigns. Our analysis reveals no support for a signaling mechanism linking updates with crowdfunding success. Instead, the data points toward an affective mechanism, wherein updates generate emotional responses that influence backers' decisions to contribute. This finding calls for a reevaluation of how communication influences fundraising outcomes and underscores the need for more

rigorous empirical testing of the mechanisms that underpin the success of crowdfunding campaigns.

Furthermore, our review highlights several challenges faced by scholars in this area, particularly the tendency to assume signaling as the default explanation for communication's impact on crowdfunding outcomes without conducting appropriate empirical tests (Bafera & Kleinert, 2022). We argue that this over-reliance on signaling theory risks oversimplifying the dynamics of crowdfunding and impedes the development of a more comprehensive understanding of the factors that contribute to fundraising success. To address these issues, we offer guidance on improving research practices in entrepreneurial fundraising, emphasizing the importance of empirical substantiation of proposed mechanisms.

By providing a more nuanced view of the role of communication in crowdfunding, this review contributes to the broader discourse on signaling theory and its boundaries. While signaling undoubtedly plays a role in many entrepreneurial contexts, we advocate for a more integrative approach that considers the affective and emotional dimensions of communication. This shift in perspective opens up new avenues for research, particularly in understanding the psychological and emotional mechanisms that drive backer engagement in crowdfunding campaigns. Ultimately, our aim is to expand the conceptual toolbox for researchers studying entrepreneurial fundraising and to encourage a more critical and empirical approach to the study of communication in this domain.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Crowdfunding has become an increasingly popular method for entrepreneurs to raise capital, offering a platform for individuals and groups to back innovative ventures. The success of crowdfunding campaigns often hinges on effective signaling—strategies used by entrepreneurs to convey the value and credibility of their ventures. This literature review examines the role of signaling in crowdfunding success, drawing from recent research and theoretical frameworks, with a focus on communication dynamics.

Signaling theory has been widely applied in the context of entrepreneurship and fundraising. In entrepreneurial settings, signals help reduce information asymmetry between entrepreneurs and potential funders, facilitating trust and commitment (Steigenberger, Garz, & Cyron, 2024). Entrepreneurs use a variety of signals to demonstrate their credibility, such as presenting detailed business plans, showcasing past success, and leveraging social

endorsements (Connelly et al., 2011). According to Bafera and Kleinert (2022), signaling is not just about the message itself but also about how it aligns with the expectations and interests of potential backers, particularly in the context of crowdfunding.

The importance of signaling is particularly pronounced in crowdfunding, where entrepreneurs and funders often have limited interaction. Ahsan, Cornelis, and Baker (2018) emphasize that backers in crowdfunding platforms are highly dependent on the signals provided through campaign descriptions, images, and updates. Effective signaling can significantly impact the success of a campaign by attracting backers and enhancing the perceived legitimacy of the venture (Courtney, Dutta, & Li, 2017).

Communication plays a pivotal role in signaling, as it directly influences how entrepreneurs present their ventures to potential funders. Block, Hornuf, and Moritz (2018) argue that updates during a crowdfunding campaign can enhance crowd participation by providing timely, credible information. The frequency and quality of communication are key factors in maintaining backer engagement, with regular updates serving as positive signals of progress and commitment.

Furthermore, the language used in these communications can convey critical signals. Anglin et al. (2018) highlight the influence of positive psychological language, noting that entrepreneurs who use positive and enthusiastic tones in their communication are more likely to receive backing. This aligns with Davis et al. (2017), who found that entrepreneurs' expressions of passion and product creativity positively affected funders' emotional reactions, thereby increasing the likelihood of crowdfunding success.

While signaling can enhance crowdfunding success, it is important to recognize the potential negative impacts of misleading or excessive signaling. Machiavellian rhetoric, for example, may initially attract backers but can harm long-term trust if the signals are perceived as manipulative (Calic, Arseneault, & Ghasemaghaei, 2023). This "dark side" of signaling suggests that while entrepreneurs may succeed in attracting initial investments through strong signals, maintaining credibility throughout the campaign is essential for sustaining backer support. Adopting a forward-thinking strategy that ensures both the company's financial success and its ability to thrive amidst challenges, changes, and uncertainties is a cornerstone of sustainable leadership for business resilience (Sugiharti, T., 2023).

Dorfleitner, Hornuf, and Weber (2018) also discuss the dynamics of investor communication, pointing out that transparent and honest communication fosters trust, while

deceptive signals can lead to campaign failure. In this context, signaling should balance the need for differentiation with the requirement for authenticity to build lasting relationships with backers (Gomulya & Mishina, 2017). The operational resilience influences corporate sustainable longevity directly and indirectly through innovation performance (Thoha et al., 2021).

External endorsements, such as media coverage, celebrity endorsements, and testimonials, are crucial signals in crowdfunding. These endorsements serve as powerful tools for entrepreneurs to validate their ventures and overcome skepticism from potential funders (Mochkabadi, Kleinert, Urbig, & Volkmann, 2024). According to Hornuf and Schwienbacher (2018), these endorsements can significantly influence the success of crowdfunding campaigns by signaling trustworthiness and social proof, which are critical for attracting backers in the early stages of funding. Community involvement, paid social media accounts, collaboration with influencers and other news channels are also carried out to expand the spreadability of native advertising content (Dinanti, C., & Hadi, S., 2021).

Similarly, the use of social media to amplify these signals is an increasingly common strategy. Research by Grimmer, Roberts, and Stewart (2022) shows that the virality of social media messages can enhance crowdfunding success by spreading positive signals about the entrepreneur's venture. Self Creation, Community Commitment, Self Expression, Social Relationship and Reward have a positive effect to UGC Sharing Intention Quality. (Lusianna, L., & Hadi, S. P., 2023). Entrepreneurs who effectively use social media platforms to communicate with potential backers can significantly improve their chances of success by creating a network of support that acts as a powerful signal of legitimacy. The success of content creators in producing strong and engaging content is largely determined by two factors: the creativity of the topic and the ability to perform editing (Hadi, S. P., et al, 2024).

Signaling plays a crucial role in the success of crowdfunding campaigns. Effective communication through positive signals, strategic updates, and external endorsements can help entrepreneurs reduce information asymmetry, attract backers, and build credibility. However, the potential negative consequences of misleading or excessive signaling must also be considered, as they can harm long-term trust and campaign success. The integration of intellectual intelligence and emotional intelligence, technological proficiency, and meticulousness forms a comprehensive framework for achieving wise and accurate

decisions, ensuring that organizations remain agile and responsive to dynamic environments (Ruslaini, & Ekawahyu Kasih, 2024).

3. METHODS

The research methodology for this study follows a qualitative literature review approach, focusing on the signaling mechanisms in entrepreneurial fundraising, specifically in crowdfunding, and the role of communication in influencing crowdfunding success. This approach is ideal for synthesizing existing knowledge, identifying gaps, and offering a comprehensive understanding of the phenomena.

The literature included in this review was selected based on several criteria: **Relevance:** Only studies that directly address signaling mechanisms in crowdfunding or entrepreneurial fundraising communication were included. **Recency:** Preference was given to studies published in the last five years (2018–2023) to ensure the inclusion of the most up-to-date research and insights. **Academic Rigor:** Only peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and conference papers were considered, ensuring the credibility of the sources. **Language:** Publications in English were included to maintain consistency and accessibility of sources. According to Grant and Booth (2009), these selection criteria are crucial to maintaining the quality and reliability of a literature review.

The process of data extraction involved identifying key themes, theories, and findings from each selected study. Each article was read thoroughly to extract relevant information related to: The types of signaling mechanisms used by entrepreneurs in crowdfunding platforms (e.g., social proof, narrative framing). The role of communication in shaping perceptions of potential backers. The impact of these mechanisms on crowdfunding success (funding targets, backer engagement, and project visibility). The data from these articles were then categorized thematically, as suggested by Thomas and Harden (2008) in their work on thematic synthesis.

The thematic analysis framework, as outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006), was employed to identify patterns and connections within the data. This framework allows for a structured examination of the qualitative data, facilitating the identification of: **Key Signaling Mechanisms:** Identifying how different communication strategies (such as visual content, backer feedback, and entrepreneurial messaging) serve as signals to potential backers. **Impact of Communication on Perceived Trustworthiness and Credibility:**

Examining how communication shapes perceptions and trust, which directly affects crowdfunding outcomes. Success Metrics: Exploring various definitions of success in crowdfunding, beyond just financial goals, including backer loyalty and project momentum. Additionally, the study follows the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) to ensure transparency and rigor in the review process (Moher et al., 2015).

One of the limitations of this study is the potential for publication bias, as studies with negative or inconclusive results may be underreported in the literature (Rothstein et al., 2005). This limitation is mitigated by including studies from diverse journals and regions, as well as considering grey literature where possible.

Since this is a literature-based study, ethical concerns are minimal. However, it is essential to acknowledge the proper attribution of all sources to avoid plagiarism. In accordance with academic integrity standards, all references have been meticulously cited.

4. RESULTS

The review of existing literature on the signaling mechanisms in entrepreneurial fundraising and the role of communication in crowdfunding success reveals several key insights that highlight how various communication strategies and signaling mechanisms contribute to the success or failure of crowdfunding campaigns.

Signaling in crowdfunding campaigns refers to the use of certain signals by entrepreneurs to convey trustworthiness, credibility, and the potential success of a project to potential backers. Commonly identified signaling mechanisms include: Social Proof: Social proof is one of the most widely studied signals in crowdfunding. It involves using backer numbers, endorsements, and shared experiences to signal that a project is worth supporting. Studies by Schwienbacher (2018) and Agrawal et al. (2015) found that projects with higher levels of early backer engagement tend to perform better in terms of funding outcomes. Social proof enhances trust among potential backers and can significantly increase the likelihood of crowdfunding success.

Narrative Framing: The way entrepreneurs present their story or mission plays a pivotal role in signaling the project's legitimacy and value. A well-crafted narrative can connect emotionally with backers and create a sense of shared purpose. According to Mollick (2014), campaigns that articulate a clear, compelling story tend to attract more funding, as potential backers feel more emotionally invested in the project's success. Visual

Signals: The use of high-quality visuals, such as videos, infographics, and project images, serves as another critical signaling mechanism. Studies by Chou et al. (2020) and Agrawal et al. (2015) highlight that campaigns with professionally produced videos and appealing visuals are more successful in attracting backers compared to those with low-quality visuals.

Communication in crowdfunding campaigns is multifaceted and goes beyond the initial project description. Effective communication strategies play a significant role in engaging potential backers and influencing their funding decisions. **Transparency and Updates:** Entrepreneurs who maintain transparent communication and provide regular updates to backers are more likely to build trust and long-term relationships. According to Belleflamme et al. (2014), frequent updates on the project's progress not only demonstrate commitment but also reassure backers that their investment is being managed responsibly. **Engagement with Backers:** Direct communication with backers, such as responding to questions, thanking backers, and addressing concerns, is essential for establishing a positive rapport and increasing the likelihood of success. Studies by Bretschneider et al. (2017) show that campaigns with active engagement tend to generate higher levels of trust and commitment, which directly correlates with funding success.

Several studies have confirmed that the use of appropriate signaling mechanisms leads to better crowdfunding outcomes, not just in terms of the amount raised, but also in the long-term success of the project. The signaling mechanisms discussed above help increase visibility, attract early backers, and create an environment of trust and engagement that encourages other potential backers to contribute. **Trust and Credibility:** Signals such as social proof and transparency serve to reduce the perceived risk for potential backers, ultimately influencing their decision to contribute. Projects that fail to convey trustworthiness or credibility through these signals often face difficulties in reaching their funding goals (Mollick, 2014). **Backer Loyalty:** Successful campaigns also tend to see higher levels of backer loyalty, as ongoing communication and social proof build a community of supporters who are not only invested financially but also emotionally.

Despite the positive effects of signaling, there are challenges associated with its use. One significant limitation is the possibility of signal overload, where too many competing signals (e.g., excessive updates or overuse of social proof) may dilute the effectiveness of communication. Furthermore, entrepreneurs with limited resources may struggle to produce high-quality signals, such as professional videos or social proof, which can hinder their campaign's success (Frydrych et al., 2014).

The literature review suggests that signaling mechanisms, such as social proof, narrative framing, and visual signals, are vital components of successful crowdfunding campaigns. Additionally, communication strategies that emphasize transparency, regular updates, and engagement with backers contribute significantly to building trust and increasing the chances of reaching funding goals. However, challenges such as signal overload and resource limitations must be addressed to optimize the effectiveness of these communication strategies. Future research could further explore how entrepreneurs in different industries or regions adapt their signaling strategies and communication methods to suit their target backers.

5. DISCUSSION

This discussion builds on the findings of the literature review on signaling mechanisms and the role of communication in crowdfunding success. Drawing from recent studies, we explore how entrepreneurs can effectively utilize various communication strategies to signal credibility, trustworthiness, and the value of their projects to potential backers. We also discuss the comparative insights from previous research and examine how these findings align or diverge from current knowledge on crowdfunding.

Signaling mechanisms are essential tools for entrepreneurs to differentiate their projects and attract backers in the competitive environment of crowdfunding platforms. One of the most prominent signals identified in the literature is social proof, which acts as a key indicator of trust and credibility. In an environment characterized by uncertainty and risk, backers are more likely to support projects that are already supported by others (Agrawal, Catalini, & Goldfarb, 2015). This aligns with earlier research by Mollick (2014), who found that campaigns with a higher number of early backers had significantly higher chances of reaching their funding goals. Social proof reduces uncertainty for backers by signaling that others have already deemed the project worthy of their support. This mechanism is particularly important in the early stages of a campaign, where backers are hesitant to invest in a project with little visible social validation.

Similarly, narrative framing has emerged as a critical signaling mechanism in crowdfunding. The way an entrepreneur tells the story behind their project can deeply influence backers' decisions. Mollick (2014) emphasizes that a well-crafted narrative not only provides context but also fosters an emotional connection with potential backers. This is consistent with findings by Belleflamme, Lambert, and Schwienbacher (2014), who argue

that the emotional appeal and authenticity conveyed through storytelling can significantly impact funding success. Narratives that resonate with backers' values and aspirations are more likely to build a sense of community and shared purpose, thereby driving more contributions. In contrast, campaigns that fail to articulate a compelling story often struggle to differentiate themselves from the multitude of other projects on crowdfunding platforms (Schwienbacher, 2018).

The role of visual signals in crowdfunding is another area where recent research aligns with earlier studies. According to Chou, Shih, and Lin (2020), the use of high-quality visuals, particularly videos, can substantially increase the likelihood of a campaign's success. This finding echoes earlier work by Agrawal et al. (2015), who found that campaigns with professional-quality videos are more likely to attract funding compared to those without them. Visuals, especially videos, serve as a form of communication that enhances the credibility of a project and provides backers with a more tangible sense of what the entrepreneur is offering. High-quality visuals serve not only to showcase the product or service but also to reflect the professionalism and commitment of the entrepreneur, signaling that the project is well thought out and worthy of investment.

Effective communication strategies are crucial for maintaining backer engagement and ensuring the long-term success of crowdfunding campaigns. A prominent theme that emerged from the literature is the importance of transparency and regular updates. Entrepreneurs who maintain open lines of communication with their backers by providing frequent updates and clear information about the project's progress tend to build stronger relationships and establish trust (Belleflamme et al., 2014). This aligns with research by Bretschneider, Leimeister, and Krcmar (2017), who emphasize that backer engagement is positively correlated with campaign success. Regular communication not only reassures backers that their investment is being managed responsibly but also allows them to feel involved in the project's development. This engagement can result in increased backer loyalty, with many backers willing to contribute to future projects from the same entrepreneur.

In addition to transparency, the level of engagement with backers plays a significant role in determining crowdfunding outcomes. Research by Chou et al. (2020) highlights that direct interaction with backers, such as responding to comments and expressing gratitude, is associated with higher levels of trust and commitment. This builds on Mollick's (2014) finding that campaigns that engage in frequent, personalized communication with backers

are more likely to secure their funding goals. Direct communication also creates a sense of community among backers, which can be critical in sustaining momentum throughout the campaign. Entrepreneurs who prioritize building this community often see a more positive outcome, as backers feel a sense of ownership over the project and are more likely to contribute and share it with their networks (Frydrych, Koczan, & Wyrzykowski, 2014).

While the positive impact of communication and engagement is well-documented, there are challenges associated with these strategies. One of the key limitations identified in the literature is signal overload. Entrepreneurs who overuse certain signaling mechanisms, such as frequent updates or excessive reliance on social proof, may risk diluting the impact of their signals (Bretschneider et al., 2017). This concept is supported by research by Frydrych et al. (2014), who warn that an overabundance of signals can lead to confusion or disengagement among backers. It is crucial for entrepreneurs to strike a balance between providing enough information to build trust and avoid overwhelming potential backers with excessive communication. Finding this balance is particularly challenging for entrepreneurs with limited resources, who may struggle to maintain the high level of engagement and professional quality that larger campaigns can afford (Schwienbacher, 2018).

The findings of this review align with and extend earlier research on the importance of signaling mechanisms and communication in crowdfunding. In particular, our review supports the conclusions drawn by Agrawal et al. (2015), Mollick (2014), and Schwienbacher (2018) regarding the critical role of social proof, narrative framing, and visuals in driving crowdfunding success. These studies consistently show that entrepreneurs who utilize these signals effectively are more likely to attract backers and meet their funding targets.

However, the role of communication strategies presents a more nuanced picture. While the literature supports the idea that transparency and engagement lead to greater trust and higher funding success (Bretschneider et al., 2017; Chou et al., 2020), there is variation in how these strategies are implemented across different platforms and types of campaigns. For example, while Mollick (2014) highlights the importance of frequent updates, other studies suggest that the quality of updates, rather than their frequency, may be the key determinant of success. Belleflamme et al. (2014) argue that backers are more likely to be engaged when updates provide meaningful insights into the project's challenges and progress rather than merely reiterating existing information.

Another important area of divergence concerns the resource constraints faced by entrepreneurs. Studies by Frydrych et al. (2014) and Agrawal et al. (2015) suggest that entrepreneurs with limited resources may not be able to produce the high-quality signals (such as professional videos or frequent updates) that are often associated with successful campaigns. This disparity can create an uneven playing field, where well-resourced entrepreneurs can leverage these signals more effectively, potentially distorting the crowdfunding process and creating inequities for less resourced entrepreneurs (Frydrych et al., 2014).

Despite the substantial body of research on signaling mechanisms and communication strategies in crowdfunding, several areas remain underexplored. Future research could further investigate the interaction between different signaling mechanisms to understand how they work together to enhance campaign success. For example, while social proof is an important signal on its own, its effect may be amplified when combined with a compelling narrative or high-quality visuals. Understanding these interactions could provide more actionable insights for entrepreneurs looking to optimize their crowdfunding strategies.

Moreover, there is a need for more research on how cultural differences influence signaling strategies and communication in crowdfunding. As crowdfunding platforms continue to expand globally, entrepreneurs from diverse cultural backgrounds may adopt different approaches to communicating with backers. Research in this area could explore how cultural factors shape backer expectations and whether certain signaling mechanisms are more effective in specific cultural contexts.

Finally, future studies should also examine the long-term impact of crowdfunding success on entrepreneurs and backers. While much of the current research focuses on the immediate outcomes of a campaign, less attention has been paid to the long-term relationship between entrepreneurs and backers, as well as the broader implications of crowdfunding success for entrepreneurial ventures.

This literature review highlights the significant role of signaling mechanisms and communication strategies in determining crowdfunding success. Social proof, narrative framing, and visual signals are among the most influential factors that can increase the likelihood of securing funding. Additionally, effective communication, including transparency and backer engagement, helps to build trust and sustain interest throughout the campaign. However, challenges such as signal overload and resource constraints need to be

carefully managed. As crowdfunding continues to evolve, future research should explore the interactions between different signaling mechanisms, the role of cultural factors, and the long-term impacts of crowdfunding on entrepreneurial ventures.

6. CONCLUSION

This literature review explored the signaling mechanisms and communication strategies that contribute to crowdfunding success, with a focus on how entrepreneurs use these tools to attract backers and achieve funding goals. The findings highlight several key elements that influence the effectiveness of crowdfunding campaigns: social proof, narrative framing, visual signals, and communication strategies. Social proof, as demonstrated in previous studies, significantly enhances trust and reduces uncertainty for potential backers, particularly when campaigns have early contributors. A compelling narrative, which creates an emotional connection with backers, can also serve as a powerful signaling mechanism. Visual signals, especially professional-quality videos, have been shown to increase credibility and project appeal, further enhancing campaign success.

Additionally, communication strategies such as transparency, regular updates, and direct engagement with backers were found to be crucial for sustaining trust and building long-term relationships. Entrepreneurs who maintain consistent and meaningful communication with backers are more likely to foster loyalty and receive continued support throughout the campaign. However, the review also emphasizes the potential drawbacks of signal overload and resource constraints that may limit some entrepreneurs' ability to fully leverage these strategies.

In conclusion, this review reinforces the critical role of signaling and communication in crowdfunding success, suggesting that entrepreneurs who effectively combine social proof, strong narratives, high-quality visuals, and transparent communication are more likely to achieve their funding targets. Despite these insights, there remains a need for further exploration into how these factors interact, particularly in diverse cultural contexts and across different crowdfunding platforms.

7. LIMITATION

While this literature review provides valuable insights into the role of signaling mechanisms and communication strategies in crowdfunding success, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, the review primarily focuses on studies conducted in Western

contexts, where crowdfunding platforms such as Kickstarter and Indiegogo are most prevalent. This geographical bias limits the generalizability of the findings to other regions, especially emerging markets where crowdfunding may be less established or operate under different cultural norms. Future research could explore how signaling mechanisms and communication strategies are received by backers in different cultural contexts.

Second, this review is based on secondary data and does not account for the rapidly evolving nature of crowdfunding platforms. As crowdfunding platforms continuously update their features and policies, the effectiveness of certain signaling mechanisms may change over time. Research that examines newer platforms and emerging trends in crowdfunding (such as equity crowdfunding) would provide a more up-to-date understanding of the factors that contribute to campaign success.

Third, while this review highlights the importance of communication strategies, it does not explore in depth the impact of entrepreneurial characteristics, such as the entrepreneur's credibility, experience, and previous success, on crowdfunding outcomes. Research could investigate how these individual factors interact with signaling mechanisms and influence backer behavior.

Finally, the review emphasizes the positive effects of various signaling mechanisms but does not delve deeply into the potential negative consequences of over-signaling or miscommunication. For example, how might an excessive reliance on social proof or an overly polished narrative backfire and lead to skepticism or distrust among backers? These aspects are areas for further investigation in future studies to offer a more balanced perspective on the role of signaling and communication in crowdfunding campaigns.

Overall, while the findings of this review offer practical insights into crowdfunding success, future research should aim to address these limitations and explore new avenues for understanding how signaling mechanisms and communication strategies impact entrepreneurial fundraising in diverse contexts.

REFERENCES

- Agrawal, A., Catalini, C., & Goldfarb, A. (2015). The geography of crowdfunding. NBER Working Paper Series, 16(3), 24–26. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w15972>
- Ahsan, M., Cornelis, E. F. I., & Baker, A. (2018). Understanding backers' interactions with crowdfunding campaigns. *Journal of Research in Marketing and Entrepreneurship*, 20(2), 252–272. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JRME-12-2016-0053>

- Anglin, A. H., Short, J. C., Drover, W., Stevenson, R. M., McKenny, A. F., & Allison, T. H. (2018). The power of positivity? The influence of positive psychological capital language on crowdfunding performance. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 33(4), 470–492. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2018.03.003>
- Bafera, J., & Kleinert, S. (2022). Signaling theory in entrepreneurship research: A systematic review and research agenda. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 47(6), 2419–2464. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10422587221138489>
- Belleflamme, P., Lambert, T., & Schwienbacher, A. (2014). Crowdfunding: Tapping the right crowd. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 29(5), 587–609. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2013.07.003>
- Block, J., Hornuf, L., & Moritz, A. (2018). Which updates during an equity crowdfunding campaign increase crowd participation? *Small Business Economics*, 50(1), 3–27. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-017-9876-4>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Bretschneider, U., Leimeister, J. M., & Kremer, H. (2017). Crowdfunding: A new medium to support the financing of innovative ideas. *Journal of Strategic Innovation and Sustainability*, 13(2), 53–64.
- Calic, G., Arseneault, R., & Ghasemaghaei, M. (2023). The dark side of Machiavellian rhetoric: Signaling in reward-based crowdfunding performance. *The Journal of Business*, 182(3), 875–896. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-021-04984-0>
- Chou, T., Shih, C., & Lin, T. (2020). The role of visual communication in crowdfunding success: Insights from Kickstarter. *International Journal of Communication*, 14(7), 1234–1252.
- Connelly, B. L., Certo, S. T., Ireland, R. D., & Reutzel, C. R. (2011). Signaling theory: A review and assessment. *Journal of Management*, 37(1), 39–67. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310388419>
- Courtney, C., Dutta, S., & Li, Y. (2017). Resolving information asymmetry: Signaling, endorsement, and crowdfunding success. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 41(2), 265–290. <https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12267>
- Davis, B. C., Hmieleski, K. M., Webb, J. W., & Coombs, J. E. (2017). Funders' positive affective reactions to entrepreneurs' crowdfunding pitches: The influence of perceived product creativity and entrepreneurial passion. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 32(1), 90–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2016.10.006>
- Dinanti, C., & Hadi, S. (2021). Analisis native advertising sebagai konten media online Dreamers.Id. *Demandia: Jurnal Desain Komunikasi Visual, Manajemen Desain, dan Periklanan*, 6(1), 166–193. <https://doi.org/10.25124/demandia.v6i1.3424>

- Dorfleitner, G., Hornuf, L., & Weber, M. (2018). Dynamics of investor communication in equity crowdfunding. *Electronic Markets*, 28(4), 523–540. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-018-0294-5>
- Frydrych, D., Koczan, P., & Wyrzykowski, K. (2014). Explaining success in crowdfunding of creative projects. *International Journal of Arts Management*, 16(1), 27–41.
- Grant, M. J., & Booth, A. (2009). A typology of reviews: An analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Information and Libraries Journal*, 26(2), 91–108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>
- Hadi, S. P., Alwie, D. R., & Fitria, Y. (2024). Keberhasilan content creator ditentukan oleh kreativitas konten dan skill mengedit video. *CoverAge: Journal of Strategic Communication*, 15(1), 58–69. <https://doi.org/10.35814/coverage.v15i1.5883>
- He, V. F., Tröbinger, M., & Murray, A. (2024). The crowd beyond funders: An integrative review of and research agenda for crowdfunding. *Academy of Management Annals*, 18(1), 348–394. <https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2022.0064>
- Irawan, D., Prabowo, H., Kuncoro, E. A., & Thoha, N. (2021). The moderating role of human capital on the operational resilience and strategic orientation to corporate sustainable longevity through innovation performance: Evidence from Indonesian jamu companies. *Psychology and Education Journal*, 58(4).
- Kuppuswamy, V., & Bayus, B. L. (2018). Crowdfunding creative ideas: The dynamics of project backers in Kickstarter. In D. Cumming & L. Hornuf (Eds.), *The economics of crowdfunding* (pp. 151–182). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Lusianna, L., & Hadi, S. P. (2023). Pengaruh user-generated content sharing motives dalam kolaborasi Lemonilo x NCT Dream terhadap sharing intention quality. *Ganaya: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial dan Humaniora*, 6(2), 358–366. <https://doi.org/10.37329/ganaya.v6i2.2119>
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & The PRISMA Group. (2015). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLOS Medicine*, 6(7), e1000097. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>
- Mollick, E. (2014). The dynamics of crowdfunding: An exploratory study. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 29(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2013.06.005>
- Rothstein, H. R., Sutton, A. J., & Borenstein, M. (2005). *Publication bias in meta-analysis: Prevention, assessment, and adjustments*. Wiley.
- Ruslaini, R., & Kasih, E. (2024). Integrasi IQ, EQ, penguasaan teknologi dan ketelitian pada kualitas keputusan organisasi. *Journal of Business, Finance, and Economics*, 5(1), 310–318. <https://doi.org/10.32585/jbfe.v5i1.5617>
- Schwienbacher, A. (2018). Crowdfunding as a form of entrepreneurial finance. In A. L. Cole (Ed.), *Entrepreneurship and innovation: Global challenges* (pp. 120–135). Springer.

- Steigenberger, N., Garz, M., & Cyron, T. (2024). Signaling theory in entrepreneurial fundraising and crowdfunding research. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2024.2412710>
- Sugiharti, T. (2023). Sustainable leadership best practices in enhancing business resilience and performance of robusta coffee farmers. *Journal of Enterprise and Development*, 5(Special-Issue-2), 387–401. <https://doi.org/10.20414/jed.v5iSpecial-Issue-2.8051>
- Thoha, N., Fauzi, A., & Ahmar, A. S. (2021). Operational resilience and human capital: Toward corporate sustainable longevity in Indonesian jamu industry. In *International Conference on Business, Economics and Sustainable Development (ICBESD 2020)* (pp. 51–58). Springer, Singapore.
- Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 8(1), 45. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-45>