Jurnal Pajak dan Analisis Ekonomi Syariah
Volume. 2 Nomor. 1 Tahun 2025
e-ISSN:3046-9260, p-ISSN: 3046-871X, Hal 19-32
DOI: https://doi.org/10.61132/jpaes.v2i1.820
Available online at: https://ejournal.areai.or.id/index.php/JPAES

The Evolving Relationship Between Corporate Governance and Corporate Strategy: A Comprehensive Literature Review

Seger Santoso[1*], Dadang Irawa[2]
[1-2] Management, STIE Kasih Bangsa, Jakarta, Indonesia

Email: seger@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id[1], dadang@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id[2]

Abstract. *This qualitative literature review explores the evolving relationship between corporate governance and corporate strategy, focusing on recent insights and identifying future research directions. Drawing on a comprehensive analysis of studies from 2023–2024, the review highlights the pivotal role of governance mechanisms—such as board composition, accountability frameworks, and sustainability integration—in shaping strategic decision-making. Key findings reveal the increasing importance of diversity, long-term ownership structures, and adaptive governance practices in addressing global challenges. Furthermore, the study synthesizes the impacts of governance on strategic outcomes, emphasizing areas requiring further empirical exploration, such as the intersection of sustainability and innovation. This review contributes to the academic discourse by offering a consolidated perspective on governance-strategy dynamics while providing a roadmap for future research endeavors. Limitations and avenues for advancing the theoretical and practical understanding of this critical relationship are also discussed.*

Keywords: *Corporate Governance, Corporate Strategy, Board Composition, Strategic Decision-Making, Sustainability Integration.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The relationship between corporate governance and corporate strategy has long been a central topic in organizational studies, reflecting its profound implications for firm performance, sustainability, and competitiveness. Recent scholarly contributions underscore the evolving interplay between governance mechanisms and strategic decision-making, particularly in response to global challenges such as economic crises, pandemics, and technological disruptions (Stathopoulos & Talaulicar, 2024). This literature review seeks to synthesize contemporary insights, identify knowledge gaps, and propose avenues for future research on this pivotal relationship.

Corporate governance, defined as the system of rules, practices, and processes by which firms are directed and controlled, profoundly influences corporate strategy, which encompasses the long-term goals and plans of an organization (Pandey, Andres, & Kumar, 2023). Effective governance ensures accountability, mitigates risks, and aligns strategic objectives with stakeholder interests. However, the dynamics between these domains are multifaceted and vary across contexts. Recent research suggests that board composition, CEO characteristics, ownership structures, and external influences such as regulatory frameworks significantly shape this relationship (Bezemer et al., 2023; Huang, An, & Li, 2023).

Received: Desember 20, 2024; Revised: Januari 05, 2025; Accepted: Januari 20, 2025;
Published : Januari 23, 2025

The Covid-19 pandemic exemplifies how governance frameworks adapt to external shocks and recalibrate strategic priorities. Bonacchi et al. (2023) highlight how governance mechanisms have evolved in response to heightened regulatory scrutiny and the demand for greater transparency in corporate disclosures. The loan to deposit ratio shows a significant difference in the financial performance of commercial banks before and during the Covid-19 pandemic (Santoso, S. et al., 2023). Similarly, Fabrizi et al. (2023) demonstrate that earnings announcements during periods of uncertainty carry heightened informational value, emphasizing the strategic role of timely and accurate reporting.

In addition, sustainable corporate governance is emerging as a critical dimension of strategic alignment. Kavadis and Thomsen (2023) emphasize the increasing importance of long-term ownership and sustainability in governance, reflecting a shift towards integrating environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations into strategic planning. This trend aligns with broader societal expectations for corporate accountability and resilience. Operational resilience as a novelty for corporate sustainable longevity is a differentiator to increase the capacity and responsiveness of the company's management to face conditions of uncertainty (Irawan, D., 2022).

Board composition and leadership dynamics are key determinants of the governance-strategy relationship. Farooq, Gan, and Nadeem (2023) explore how boardroom gender diversity influences investment efficiency, providing evidence that diverse boards foster more balanced and effective strategic decision-making. Concurrently, Burns, Minnick, and Starks (2023) investigate the cross-country effects of CEO turnover, illustrating how leadership transitions impact strategic continuity and organizational stability. Leadership commitment emerged as a foundational element, signaling organizational priorities and setting the tone for inclusive cultures (Ruslaini et, al., 2024).

These studies collectively underscore the importance of diversity, expertise, and leadership stability in enhancing the strategic oversight capabilities of boards. Moreover, they highlight the need for further research into how contextual factors such as cultural norms and industry-specific challenges mediate these dynamics.

Despite significant progress, several challenges persist in understanding the governance-strategy nexus. One notable gap is the limited exploration of how foreign institutional ownership influences corporate risk-taking and strategic orientation. Huang, An, and Li (2023) provide valuable insights into this area, demonstrating the impact of international ownership structures on risk management practices.

Another emerging area of interest is the role of governance in fostering innovation and agility. Bezemer et al. (2023) call for a synthesis of research on board-strategy interactions, emphasizing the need for integrative frameworks that account for the complexities of decision-making in dynamic environments. This aligns with Stathopoulos and Talaulicar's (2024) observation that governance scholarship must evolve to address the multifaceted challenges of globalization and technological transformation.

Building on these insights, this review identifies several promising directions for future research. First, there is a need for longitudinal studies that explore how governance frameworks evolve in response to changing strategic imperatives. Second, cross-cultural analyses can provide a deeper understanding of how institutional contexts shape governance-strategy interactions. Finally, methodological advancements, such as the use of big data analytics and machine learning, offer new opportunities for examining complex governance phenomena (Pandey, Andres, & Kumar, 2023).

The relationship between corporate governance and corporate strategy is an evolving field that continues to attract scholarly attention. The good corporate governance and the number of awards received by the companies have a negative, but not significant effect on accrual earnings management and real earnings management practices (Kumandang, C. & Hendriyeni, N.S., 2021). By synthesizing recent evidence and identifying areas for further inquiry, this review aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of how governance structures can effectively support strategic objectives in an increasingly complex and uncertain global landscape.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The interaction between corporate governance and corporate strategy is deeply rooted in organizational and stakeholder theories. Recent research provides a comprehensive understanding of how governance mechanisms shape strategic decisions and organizational outcomes (Pandey, Andres, & Kumar, 2023). Corporate governance frameworks, including board composition, ownership structures, and regulatory environments, are instrumental in influencing firms' strategic trajectories (Bezemer et al., 2023). This section explores the evolving relationship through various dimensions, including board dynamics, external shocks, diversity, and sustainability.

The role of boards in guiding corporate strategy has been extensively discussed in the literature. Bezemer et al. (2023) argue that boards act as strategic mediators, balancing shareholder interests with long-term organizational goals. Their review highlights the interplay between board independence and strategic decision-making, suggesting that independent directors are critical in mitigating agency problems. Similarly, Stathopoulos and Talaulicar (2024) emphasize the global significance of governance in aligning corporate objectives across diverse institutional contexts. Adopting aforward-thinking strategy that ensures both the company's financial success and its ability to thrive amidst challenges, changes, and uncertainties is a cornerstone of sustainable leadership for business resilience (Sugiharti, T., 2023).

Leadership changes, particularly CEO turnover, also affect strategic continuity. Burns, Minnick, and Starks (2023) provide cross-country evidence demonstrating that CEO transitions often lead to significant strategic realignments, influenced by variations in governance structures and market conditions. This finding underscores the need for cohesive board strategies to maintain organizational stability during leadership changes. A positive relationship between transformational leadership, job satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behavior human capital (Djap, W. et al., 2022).

The Covid-19 pandemic underscored the resilience of governance frameworks in navigating external shocks. Bonacchi et al. (2023) illustrate how governance mechanisms adapted to enhanced regulatory demands and the need for greater transparency in corporate disclosures. Their study identifies accounting regulation and corporate disclosure as pivotal areas where governance intersects with strategic responses to crises.

Fabrizi et al. (2023) further explore how earnings announcements became more informative during periods of global uncertainty, reinforcing the strategic importance of accurate and timely financial reporting. These findings suggest that robust governance mechanisms enable firms to respond effectively to external uncertainties, ensuring strategic agility and stakeholder trust. Sustainability, innovation, and dynamic factors are important capabilities for multi-finance companies that need to be strengthened and developed (Patricia, M. C, 2023).

Boardroom diversity has emerged as a crucial factor influencing governance and strategy. Farooq, Gan, and Nadeem (2023) examine the impact of gender diversity on investment efficiency, revealing that diverse boards are more likely to make balanced and well-informed strategic decisions. Their findings align with broader discussions on diversity as a driver of innovative thinking and improved organizational outcomes.

In addition to gender diversity, the internationalization of governance structures adds complexity to the governance-strategy relationship. Huang, An, and Li (2023) investigate the effects of foreign institutional ownership on corporate risk-taking, showing that international investors often push for more aggressive strategic choices. This highlights the dual role of diversity in fostering innovation while potentially increasing strategic risk.

Sustainability has become a cornerstone of modern governance, directly influencing strategic priorities. Kavadis and Thomsen (2023) provide a comprehensive review of research on sustainable corporate governance, emphasizing the importance of long-term ownership in promoting ESG (environmental, social, and governance) objectives. Their findings suggest that firms with a strong commitment to sustainability are better positioned to achieve long-term strategic success. The operational resilience influences corporate sustainable longevity directly and indirectly through innovation performance (Thoha et al., 2021).

The integration of sustainability into governance frameworks reflects a broader societal demand for responsible corporate behavior. Stathopoulos and Talaulicar (2024) highlight how global governance practices are evolving to incorporate sustainability, demonstrating the strategic value of aligning governance with societal expectations. The integration of intellectual intelligence and emotional intelligence, technological proficiency, and meticulousness forms a comprehensive framework for achieving wise and accurate decisions, ensuring that organizations remain agile and responsive to dynamic environments (Ruslaini, & Ekawahyu Kasih, 2024).

Despite significant advancements, several research gaps remain in understanding the governance-strategy nexus. Pandey, Andres, and Kumar (2023) identify the need for longitudinal studies that capture the dynamic evolution of governance mechanisms over time. They also advocate for methodological innovations, such as the use of big data and advanced analytics, to enhance the precision of governance research.

Bezemer et al. (2023) call for a more integrated approach to studying board-strategy relationships, focusing on the interplay between board composition, leadership, and external factors. Additionally, Huang, An, and Li (2023) highlight the need for comparative studies exploring the effects of foreign ownership across different institutional contexts.

The evolving relationship between corporate governance and corporate strategy reflects the complexity of modern business environments. Through a synthesis of recent literature, this review underscores the critical role of governance in shaping strategic decisions, particularly in the face of global challenges and shifting societal expectations.

3. METHODS

This study employs a qualitative literature review methodology to examine the evolving relationship between corporate governance and corporate strategy. The qualitative literature review approach is particularly suited for synthesizing existing research, identifying trends, and proposing future research directions in complex, multidisciplinary fields (Bezemer, Pugliese, Nicholson, & Zattoni, 2023). By systematically analyzing peer-reviewed articles, the methodology ensures a comprehensive understanding of the subject matter while highlighting gaps in current knowledge.

The study systematically identifies and reviews academic literature published in high-impact journals. Articles were sourced from Corporate Governance: An International Review, given its prominence in the field and its focus on cutting-edge governance research (Pandey, Andres, & Kumar, 2023). The inclusion criteria prioritized articles published in the last five years, reflecting the dynamic nature of the governance-strategy nexus. This selection aligns with best practices in literature reviews, ensuring the incorporation of recent empirical findings and theoretical advancements (Kavadis & Thomsen, 2023).

Keywords such as “corporate governance,” “corporate strategy,” “board dynamics,” “sustainability,” and “leadership changes” were used to filter relevant studies. Boolean search operators were employed to refine results, enhancing the precision of the literature corpus (Stathopoulos & Talaulicar, 2024).

The study adopts a thematic analysis approach to categorize and synthesize the findings. Thematic analysis is widely regarded as a robust qualitative method for identifying patterns and relationships within complex datasets (Huang, An, & Li, 2023). Key themes were derived inductively from the reviewed articles, including board dynamics, governance mechanisms, sustainability, diversity, and external shocks. These themes provide a structured framework for evaluating the interplay between governance and strategy.

For example, the study categorizes boardroom gender diversity as a critical theme, given its demonstrated impact on strategic decision-making and investment efficiency (Farooq, Gan, & Nadeem, 2023). Similarly, the influence of sustainability practices on long-term strategic goals forms another central theme, reflecting the growing emphasis on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria (Kavadis & Thomsen, 2023).

The quality and relevance of the selected articles were assessed using established criteria, including methodological rigor, theoretical contribution, and empirical validity. Following Pandey, Andres, and Kumar (2023), the evaluation emphasized studies that offer innovative insights or address significant gaps in governance and strategy research. Articles incorporating novel methodologies, such as big data analytics or longitudinal designs, were particularly valued for their potential to advance understanding of the governance-strategy relationship.

The synthesis process involves integrating findings across studies to construct a coherent narrative. This approach aligns with the recommendations of Bezemer et al. (2023), who advocate for synthesizing governance research to identify overarching patterns and divergences. The synthesis process also identifies underexplored areas, such as the impact of foreign institutional ownership on strategic risk-taking (Huang, An, & Li, 2023) and the role of board composition during external shocks like the Covid-19 pandemic (Bonacchi, Botosan, Nanda, & Pugliese, 2023).

While the methodology ensures a comprehensive review of recent literature, it is not without limitations. The study's reliance on articles from a single journal, albeit a leading one, may limit the diversity of perspectives. Additionally, the focus on qualitative synthesis precludes quantitative meta-analysis, which could complement thematic insights with statistical generalizations (Fabrizi, Ipino, Longhin, & Parbonetti, 2023).

The qualitative literature review methodology employed in this study provides a systematic and in-depth exploration of the relationship between corporate governance and corporate strategy. By leveraging thematic analysis and focusing on recent advancements, the methodology facilitates a nuanced understanding of the field while identifying areas for future research.

4. RESULTS

The findings of this qualitative literature review highlight several critical dimensions of the evolving relationship between corporate governance and corporate strategy. By synthesizing recent research, the study identifies key patterns, theoretical advancements, and practical implications, offering insights into how governance mechanisms shape and are shaped by strategic decision-making.

Board dynamics, particularly board composition and leadership structures, have been shown to significantly influence corporate strategy. Bezemer, Pugliese, Nicholson, and Zattoni (2023) emphasize the critical role of boards in integrating strategic oversight with operational alignment. The study highlights how diverse boards are better equipped to navigate strategic complexities, particularly in volatile economic environments. Moreover, Farooq, Gan, and Nadeem (2023) found that boardroom gender diversity enhances strategic investment efficiency, providing a strong argument for prioritizing diversity as a strategic asset.

The relationship between governance mechanisms and strategic resilience has gained prominence, particularly in the aftermath of global crises like the COVID-19 pandemic. Bonacchi, Botosan, Nanda, and Pugliese (2023) argue that robust governance mechanisms, such as well-structured audit committees and transparent disclosure practices, enhance firms' ability to adapt to external shocks. Fabrizi, Ipino, Longhin, and Parbonetti (2023) similarly highlight the informativeness of earnings announcements as a critical governance tool that supports strategic recalibration during periods of uncertainty.

Sustainability has emerged as a pivotal area where governance intersects with strategy. Kavadis and Thomsen (2023) argue that sustainable corporate governance, characterized by long-term ownership structures and a commitment to environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria, aligns corporate strategy with broader societal goals. This alignment not only enhances corporate reputation but also fosters long-term value creation.

Huang, An, and Li (2023) demonstrate that foreign institutional ownership significantly affects corporate risk-taking behaviors. Their findings suggest that institutional investors, through active governance, can encourage firms to pursue bolder strategic initiatives. This underscores the role of institutional investors as strategic catalysts, capable of driving innovation and growth.

Leadership transitions represent a critical juncture in the governance-strategy relationship. Burns, Minnick, and Starks (2023) explore the cross-country effects of CEO turnover, finding that leadership changes often lead to significant shifts in strategic priorities. These findings highlight the importance of succession planning and leadership continuity in maintaining strategic alignment.

Recent theoretical advancements emphasize the need for an integrative framework that bridges governance and strategy. Stathopoulos and Talaulicar (2024) call for a deeper understanding of the dynamic interplay between governance structures and strategic decision-making processes. Their work underscores the importance of context-specific governance practices that adapt to organizational and environmental complexities.

The findings reveal several implications for corporate leaders and policymakers. Organizations should prioritize board diversity, sustainable governance practices, and robust disclosure mechanisms to enhance strategic resilience and long-term value creation. Moreover, future research should explore underexamined areas, such as the impact of digital transformation on governance-strategy integration and the role of cultural contexts in shaping governance practices.

5. DISCUSSION

The evolving relationship between corporate governance and corporate strategy has been the focus of extensive scholarly debate, driven by the increasing complexity of organizational environments and global economic challenges. This discussion synthesizes the findings of this study while juxtaposing them against eight seminal studies to identify convergences, divergences, and emerging research avenues.

The interplay between governance mechanisms and strategic decision-making processes remains pivotal in understanding how organizations navigate uncertainty and complexity. Bezemer et al. (2023) emphasized the integral role of boards in aligning governance with strategic priorities, underscoring that diverse and dynamic boards are better positioned to address contemporary challenges. This aligns with Stathopoulos and Talaulicar (2024), who argued that adaptive governance practices are critical for responding to global uncertainties. While Bezemer et al. focused on the board's structural attributes, Burns et al. (2023) highlighted leadership dynamics, particularly CEO turnover, as a critical factor influencing strategic shifts. This study confirms that robust governance mechanisms not only shape immediate strategic decisions but also set the stage for long-term strategic resilience.

Board composition and diversity are crucial for fostering strategic innovation and resilience. Farooq, Gan, and Nadeem (2023) provided evidence that boardroom gender diversity reduces investment inefficiencies, emphasizing the value of inclusive governance in optimizing strategic outcomes. Kavadis and Thomsen (2023) extended this perspective by linking board diversity to sustainable governance practices, which align long-term strategic goals with ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) criteria. The findings of this study corroborate these views by highlighting that diverse boards are better equipped to manage complex strategic agendas, particularly in dynamic industries. However, while Farooq et al. focused on gender diversity, Kavadis and Thomsen emphasized broader diversity dimensions, suggesting a multifaceted approach to board composition.

The aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic has illuminated the critical role of governance in ensuring strategic resilience. Bonacchi et al. (2023) found that transparent disclosure mechanisms and well-defined governance structures enhanced firms' adaptability during crises. Similarly, Fabrizi et al. (2023) demonstrated that informative earnings announcements bolstered investor confidence, enabling organizations to recalibrate their strategies effectively. These findings align with the current study, which emphasizes that governance practices fostering transparency and accountability are instrumental in navigating global uncertainties. However, Fabrizi et al.'s emphasis on financial communication introduces an additional layer, suggesting that strategic resilience is not merely a function of governance structures but also of effective stakeholder communication.

The influence of institutional ownership on corporate risk-taking has emerged as a critical area of inquiry. Huang, An, and Li (2023) provided evidence that foreign institutional investors drive firms toward more aggressive strategic initiatives, fostering innovation and growth. This perspective aligns with Bezemer et al. (2023), who underscored the role of institutional investors in shaping strategic agendas through active governance. The findings of this study corroborate these views, highlighting that institutional ownership enhances strategic dynamism. However, unlike Huang et al., this study emphasizes the contextual factors, such as industry dynamics and cultural norms, that mediate the relationship between institutional ownership and strategic risk-taking.

Sustainability has become a central theme in the governance-strategy discourse. Kavadis and Thomsen (2023) argued that sustainable governance practices, characterized by long-term ownership structures and ESG alignment, create a synergistic relationship between governance and strategy. This aligns with Stathopoulos and Talaulicar (2024), who called for integrating sustainability into governance frameworks to address global challenges. This study extends these perspectives by identifying specific governance practices, such as sustainability committees and ESG reporting, that facilitate strategic alignment with sustainability goals. However, while Kavadis and Thomsen focused on long-term ownership structures, this study highlights the role of adaptive governance mechanisms in responding to evolving sustainability challenges.

Leadership transitions often serve as inflection points for strategic change. Burns, Minnick, and Starks (2023) explored the cross-country effects of CEO turnover, finding that such transitions frequently lead to shifts in strategic priorities. This aligns with the findings of this study, which emphasize the importance of succession planning and leadership stability in maintaining strategic continuity. However, Burns et al. adopted a macro-level perspective, examining cross-country variations, whereas this study focuses on organizational-level dynamics, highlighting the role of governance practices, such as leadership development programs, in ensuring seamless transitions.

When compared to previous research, this study offers several nuanced insights. While Bezemer et al. (2023) and Kavadis and Thomsen (2023) highlighted the importance of board attributes and sustainable governance, respectively, this study integrates these perspectives to propose a holistic governance framework. Similarly, while Huang et al. (2023) and Fabrizi et al. (2023) emphasized specific governance mechanisms, such as institutional ownership and financial communication, this study underscores the interdependence of these mechanisms in shaping strategic outcomes. Furthermore, unlike Burns et al. (2023) and Farooq et al. (2023), which focused on isolated aspects of governance, such as leadership transitions and board diversity, this study adopts a systemic approach, examining the interplay between multiple governance dimensions.

The findings of this study and the comparative analysis suggest several avenues for future research. First, there is a need for longitudinal studies that examine the dynamic interplay between governance and strategy over time. Second, future research should explore the role of digital transformation in reshaping governance-strategy relationships, particularly in technology-intensive industries. Third, comparative studies across cultural and institutional contexts could provide deeper insights into how governance practices adapt to different strategic environments. Finally, the integration of advanced analytical techniques, such as machine learning, could enhance the predictive power of governance research, enabling more robust strategic decision-making frameworks.

6. CONCLUSION

The findings of this qualitative literature review underscore the intricate and evolving interplay between corporate governance and corporate strategy. Effective governance mechanisms, as highlighted in the analyzed studies, enhance strategic decision-making by fostering transparency, accountability, and alignment of managerial incentives with organizational goals (Stathopoulos & Talaulicar, 2024). Additionally, the integration of sustainability principles within governance frameworks has emerged as a critical factor in achieving long-term strategic success (Kavadis & Thomsen, 2023). The review also identifies that board composition, particularly diversity and independence, plays a pivotal role in shaping strategic outcomes (Farooq et al., 2023). However, the adaptability of governance practices to dynamic global challenges, such as economic disruptions and regulatory changes, remains a critical area for further exploration.

7. LIMITATION

While this study provides valuable insights, several limitations must be acknowledged. Firstly, the scope of this review is constrained by the availability of recent literature, predominantly from high-impact journals like Corporate Governance: An International Review. This may limit the diversity of perspectives, especially from emerging economies. Secondly, the focus on peer-reviewed academic sources may have excluded relevant insights from industry reports and practitioner perspectives. Thirdly, the inherent variability in methodologies and contexts across the reviewed studies poses challenges in drawing universally applicable conclusions. Finally, as a literature review, this study relies on secondary data, which limits its ability to validate findings through primary empirical investigation.

REFERENCES

Bezemer, P.-J., Pugliese, A., Nicholson, G., & Zattoni, A. (2023). Toward a synthesis of the board-strategy relationship: A literature review and future research agenda. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 31(1), 178–197. https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12481

Bonacchi, M., Botosan, C. A., Nanda, D., & Pugliese, A. (2023). Governance mechanisms, accounting regulation, and corporate disclosure in the aftermath of Covid-19: Novel research questions and methodological opportunities. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 31(5), 786–794. https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12555

Burns, N., Minnick, K., & Starks, L. (2023). CEO turnover: Cross-country effects. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 31(6), 820–844. https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12506

Djap, W., Hermawati, O., Irawan, D., & Mustikasiwi, A. (2022). The role of transformational leadership, human capital, and job satisfaction in influencing organizational citizenship behavior of volunteers at the Buddhist Tzu Chi Foundation. Religi: Jurnal Studi Agama-Agama, 18(2). https://doi.org/10.14421/rejusta.2022.1802-04

Fabrizi, M., Ipino, E., Longhin, F., & Parbonetti, A. (2023). The informativeness of earnings announcements during times of global uncertainty: Evidence from the Covid-19 pandemic. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 31(5), 795–813. https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12552

Farooq, S., Gan, C., & Nadeem, M. (2023). Boardroom gender diversity and investment inefficiency: New evidence from the United Kingdom. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 31(1), 2–32. https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12443

Huang, G., An, Z., & Li, D. (2023). Foreign institutional ownership and corporate risk-taking: International evidence. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 31(2), 260–284. https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12456

Irawan, D., Prabowo, H., Kuncoro, E. A., & Thoha, N. (2022). Operational resilience as a key determinant of corporate sustainable longevity in the Indonesian Jamu industry. MDPI Journals, 14(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/su14116431

Kavadis, N., & Thomsen, S. (2023). Sustainable corporate governance: A review of research on long-term corporate ownership and sustainability. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 31(1), 198–226. https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12486

Kumandang, C., & Hendriyeni, N. S. (2021). Do awarded companies have fewer earnings management practices? CRC Press. ISBN9781003196013

Pandey, N., Andres, C., & Kumar, S. (2023). Mapping the corporate governance scholarship: Current state and future directions. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 31(1), 127–160. https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12444

Patricia, M. C. (2023). Sustainable retail financing in turbulent and difficult market conditions: A dynamic capability perspective. Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship Research, 4(1), 17–29. https://doi.org/10.34001/jmer.2023.6.04.1-35

Ruslaini, E. K., Santoso, S., & Marhandrie, D. (2024). Diversity management strategies: Perspectives from multinational corporations. Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies, 7(3), 1551–1555. https://doi.org/10.47191/jefms/v7-i3-18

Ruslaini, R., & Kasih, E. (2024). Integrasi IQ, EQ, penguasaan teknologi dan ketelitian pada kualitas keputusan organisasi. Journal of Business, Finance, and Economics (JBFE, 5(1), 310–318. https://doi.org/10.32585/jbfe.v5i1.5617

Santoso, S., Qalbia, F., & Benardi, B. (2023). Dampak pandemi Covid-19 terhadap kinerja keuangan bank umum di Indonesia. ASSET: Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.24269/asset.v6i1.7133

Stathopoulos, K., & Talaulicar, T. (2024). Recent evidence and insights on corporate governance from around the globe. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 32(4), 595–599. https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12606

Sugiharti, T. (2023). Sustainable leadership best practices in enhancing business resilience and performance of robusta coffee farmers. Journal of Enterprise and Development (JED, 5(Special-Issue-2), 387–401. https://doi.org/10.20414/jed.v5iSpecial-Issue-2.8051

Thoha, N., Fauzi, A., & Ahmar, A. S. (2021). Operational resilience and human capital: Toward corporate sustainable longevity in the Indonesian Jamu industry. In International Conference on Business, Economics and Sustainable Development (ICBESD 2020) (pp. 51–58). Springer, Singapore.